

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 **JOSHUA S. HERRERA,**

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.
14

15 **J. ORTEGA, et al.,**

16 Defendants.
17

Case No. 5:20-cv-02035-BLF (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
DISPOSITIVE MOTION**

18 Defendants Castillo-Ruiz, Cardona, Valdez Jr., Bickham, Ortega and Duran
19 filed a request for a 92-day extension of time, up to and including Monday, March
20 25, 2022, in which to file a summary judgment or other dispositive motion or to
21 indicate that this case cannot be resolved by such a motion. The Court has read and
22 considered the request and counsel's accompanying declaration, and finds that good
23 cause exists to grant the request.

24 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' request for an extension of
25 time is **GRANTED**, and that Defendants' time to file a dispositive motion, or
26 indicate that this case cannot be resolved by such a motion, is extended for 92 days,
27 up to and including March 25, 2022. If Defendants file a dispositive motion,
28

1 Plaintiff's opposition shall be filed with the Court and served on Defendants no
2 later than 28 days after the date on which Defendants' motion is filed.

3 Defendants' reply shall be filed with the Court and served on Plaintiff no later
4 than 14 days after the date on which Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

5 Dated: __Decmeber 29, 2021__
6


The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman